Constraining f(T) gravity by dynamical system analysis

Behrouz Mirza* and Fatemeh Oboudiat†
Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

We investigate the cosmological solutions of the $f(T)$ gravity theory using the method of dynamical systems. For this purpose a general form of the $f(T)$ function is considered and four conditions are defined that they have to satisfy in order to describe the standard cosmological history. We examine the power law, and another form of $f(T)$ against these conditions. For a power law function $f(T) = B(-T)^{\beta}$, β must be less than one and unequal to $\frac{1}{2}$ to obtain a consistent cosmological model. We also investigate a model of inflation in this theory.

arXiv:1704.02593v1 [gr-qc] 9 Apr 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent cosmological observations indicate that our universe has an accelerated expansion [1]. This is not predicted by General Relativity (GR) using ordinary baryonic matter. Either of two approaches might be adopted to describe the expansion of the universe: 1) Introducing a new kind of matter, called dark energy, into the GR theory which either raises problems such as future singularities or violates certain energy conditions; and 2) Modifying GR to some new theory of gravity like $f(R)$ [3] or other forms of higher derivative theories [4].
An equivalent formulation of GR is teleparallel gravity (TG) in which torsion, rather than curvature, is responsible for gravitational interaction [5]. Originally due to Einstein, TG which is basically different from GR, was meant to unify electromagnetism and GR [6]. TG is considered a gauge theory [7] while GR is described as a geometric one; however, equations of motion are the same for both; hence, the designation Teleparallel Equivalent of General Relativity (TEGR).
One straightforward modification of TEGR is $f(T)$ gravity in which the torsion scalar T is replaced with $f(T)$ in the action [8] similar to $f(R)$ gravity in which the Ricci scalar is replaced with $f(R)$ in the action. Compared to its GR equivalent $f(R)$ theory, its equations of motion are of second order in contrast to the forth order equations of motion in $f(R)$ theory but there is no local Lorentz invariance so it is not possible to fix some of the vierbeins by gauge symmetry. The theory can explain the present cosmic acceleration [9] and solve the problem of inflation with no inflaton [10]. An account of the cosmological evolution of the theory may be found in [11] while [12] may be consulted for the advantages of adding a scalar field to the theory. Observational data have been used to constrain the model parameters in Ref. [13] and the Noether symmetry is examined in [14]. Finally, the possibility of wormhole is investigated in [15] and the phantom divide crossing is studied in [16].
The autonomous dynamical system is a tool for investigating fixed points and singularities of a theory [17]. This method is examined for $f(T)$ theory in [18] where a special form of $f(T)$ is selected. In the present paper, a general form of the function $f(T)$ is assumed. Using the dynamical system method, four conditions are used to constrain the functional form of $f(T)$.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we briefly explain the bases of TG and the action of the $f(T)$ theory. Section III examines the method of autonomous dynamical system for a general form of $f(T)$ theory. Some special cases are presented in Section IV. Summary and conclusions are finally presented in Section V.

II. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

In this section, we briefly review the equations of motion of $f(T)$ gravity. The dynamical variables of TG and $f(T)$ gravity are vierbein fields e_A, $A = 0, 1, 2, 3$, which form an orthogonal basis for the tangent space at each point x_μ of the manifold. So, $e_A.e_B = \eta_{AB}$ where $\eta_{AB} = diag(1, -1, -1, -1)$. The vector e_A can be described by its components in the coordinate basis; $e_A = e_A^\mu \partial_\mu$ where the Latin indices refer to the tangent space and the Greek ones refer to coordinate space on the manifold. The metric is defined as $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} e_\mu^A(x) e_\nu^B(x)$.
Instead of the torsion-less Levi-Civita connection in the General Relativity, we use a curvatureless Weitzenböck connection [2]:

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \equiv e^\lambda_A \partial_\nu e^A_\mu$$

The torsion tensor from this connection reads:

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = e^\lambda_A \left(\partial_\mu e^A_\nu - \partial_\nu e^A_\mu\right) \tag{1}$$

Using torsion tensor (1), we can construct the contorsion tensor and the S matrix as follows:

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(T^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho - T^{\nu\mu}{}_\rho - T_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\Big)$$
$$S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho + \delta^\mu_\rho T^{\alpha\nu}{}_\alpha - \delta^\nu_\rho T^{\alpha\mu}{}_\alpha\Big)$$

both of which are antisymmetric tensors. Using these quantities, the torsion scalar T can be defined as follows:

$$T \equiv S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

which is the Lagrangian density of the so called TG.
The idea of $f(T)$ gravity is to extend T to $f(T)$ similar to the generalization of R to $f(R)$ in Einstein-Hilbert action. So, the action of the $f(T)$ gravity reads:

$$I = \frac{1}{2k}\int d^4x e \left(T + f(T) + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_r\right) \tag{3}$$

* b.mirza@cc.iut.ac.ir
† f.oboudiat@ph.iut.ac.ir

where, $k = 8\pi G$, G is the Newtonian constant, $e = det(e^A_\mu) = \sqrt{-g}$ and $\mathcal{L}_m$ and $\mathcal{L}_r$ are the matter and radiation lagrangian densities. Varying the action with respect to the vierbein we have:

$$e^{-1}\partial_\mu(ee^\rho_A S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu})[1+f'(T)] - e^\lambda_A T^\rho{}_{\mu\lambda} S_\rho{}^{\nu\mu}[1+f'(T)] + e^\rho_A S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu(T)f''(T) - \frac{1}{4}e^\nu_A[T+f(T)] = \frac{k}{2}e^\rho_A T_\rho{}^\nu \quad (4)$$

whose prime means differentiation with respect to the label and $T_\rho{}^\nu$ is the energy momentum tensor.
We choose the vierbein:

$$e^A_\mu = \mathrm{diag}(1, a, a, a) \quad (5)$$

which leads to the flat FRW universe by the metric:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)dx^2$$

Putting the veirbein (5) in (2), the torsion scalar will take the following form:

$$T = -6H^2$$

Assuming the matter content of the universe to be a perfect fluid by the energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = p_m g_{\mu\nu} - (\rho_m + p_m)u_\mu u_\nu$, we can find the Friedmann-like equations from (4) as follows:

$$H^2 = \frac{k}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_r) - \frac{f(T)}{6} - 2f'(T)H^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\dot{H} = \frac{-\frac{k}{2}(\rho_m + p_m + \rho_r + p_r)}{1 + f'(T) - 12H^2 f''(T)} \quad (7)$$

where, ρ_m and p_m are the density and pressure of the matter while ρ_r and p_r are the density and pressure of the radiation. Dot denotes the derivative with respect to cosmic time t and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter.

III. AUTONOMOUS DYNAMICAL SYSTEM IN THE GENERAL CASE

We consider $f(T)$ gravity theory in flat isotropic and homogeneous FRW space-time. The matter content of the universe is chosen to be dust (with energy density ρ_m and zero pressure). Radiation parameters ρ_r and p_r are related to each other via the equation of state $p_r = \omega_r \rho_r$ whose constant is $\omega_r = \frac{1}{3}$. No interaction is assumed to occur between radiation and matter; so the continuity equations for matter and radiation will read:

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3H\rho_m = 0$$
$$\dot{\rho}_r + 4H\rho_r = 0 \quad (8)$$

Assuming dust and radiation in the flat FRW universe the Friedman equations (6) and (7) will change to (9) and (10):

$$H^2 = \frac{k}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_r) - \frac{f(T)}{6} - 2f'(T)H^2 \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{H}\left(1 + f'(T) - 12H^2 f''(T)\right) = -\frac{k}{2}\rho_m - \frac{2}{3}k\rho_r \quad (10)$$

In this section, we consider the general case of the theory with no constraint on the $f(T)$ function and some useful special cases in the subsections to follow.
The method of dynamical systems is a method for investigating the whole dynamics of a system near extremum points of the theory called fixed points. In this method, the qualitative behavior of the system is pictured in the phase space by some trajectories which depend on initial values. Based on early and late time behaviors of the system as well as radiation and matter solutions, one can choose some of the trajectories and rule out the inconsistent ones. It is appropriate at this juncture to introduce some dimensionless independent variables in order to simplify the calculations:

$$\Omega_m = \frac{k\rho_m}{3H^2} \quad (11)$$

$$\Omega_r = \frac{k\rho_r}{3H^2} \quad (12)$$

$$x = \frac{f(T)}{T} \quad (13)$$

$$y = -2f'(T) \quad (14)$$

$$z = 2Tf''(T) \quad (15)$$

It is clear from Equation (9) that the effective density of dark energy is equal to

$$\rho_D = \frac{3}{k}\left(-\frac{f(T)}{6} - 2f'(T)H^2\right) \quad (16)$$

So, we can define Ω_D as follows:

$$\Omega_D = \frac{k\rho_D}{3H^2} = x + y \quad (17)$$

We should make sure that the variables (11) to (15) are independent; if not, an independent set should be chosen from among them. It is obvious from (13) that $x = x(T)$ and, reversely, $T = T(x)$. Thus, $y(T)$ and $z(T)$ are not independent of x and we have $y(x)$ and $z(x)$. So, from among the three variables x, y, and z, we choose just x as an independent variable. By differentiating y with respect to x, we can find an explicit relation between z and y as in the following:

$$y'(x) = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{dy/dT}{dx/dT} = \frac{-2f''(T)}{\frac{f'(T)}{T} - \frac{f(T)}{T^2}} = \frac{z(x)}{x + \frac{1}{2}y(x)} \quad (18)$$

where, $y'(x)$ means differentiating y with respect to x i.e. $\frac{dy}{dx}$. Not to be confused by $\frac{dy}{dT}$.
For our purposes, we choose x, Ω_m, and Ω_r and eliminate y and z. Using the Friedman Equations (9) and (10), the variables (11) to (15), and relation (18), we can then write:

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_r + x + y = 1 \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}y + z\right) = -\frac{3}{2}\Omega_m - 2\Omega_r \quad (20)$$

We choose Ω_r and x as dynamical variables and write the

TABLE I. **The fixed points and physical parameters of the system (21).**

fixed point	Ω_m	Ω_r	Ω_D	x	λ_1	λ_2	q	$y'(x_0)<-2$	$y'(x_0)>-2$
P_1	$1-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	0	$\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	$-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	-1	$\frac{3}{2}\left(y'(x_0)+2\right)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	stable	saddle
P_2	0	0	1	$1-y(x_1)$	-3	-4	-1	stable	stable
P_3	0	$1-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	$\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	$-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$	1	$2\left(y'(x_0)+2\right)$	1	saddle	unstable
P_4	$1-x_2-y(x_2)$	0	$x_2+y(x_2)$	x_2	-4	$\lambda(x_2)$	-1	—	—

The point x_0 is the solution of $y(x)+2x=0$, the point x_1 is the solution of $y(x)+x=1$, and the point x_2 is the value in which $1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)$ diverges.

autonomous dynamical system as follows:

$$\frac{d\Omega_r}{dN}=-2\Omega_r\left(\frac{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r+\frac{3}{2}(x+y)}{1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)}+2\right)$$
$$\frac{dx}{dN}=-\frac{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r+\frac{3}{2}(x+y)}{1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)}(y+2x) \qquad (21)$$

where, $N=\ln a$. Moreover, the continuity Equations (8) and Friedman equations (19) and (20) are used. In Ref. [13] chooses x, y and Ω_r as independent variables. As already explained, y cannot assumed to be independent from x and the autonomous set is x and Ω_r. Extremum points of the system, called fixed or critical points, are found. This is achieved by setting $\frac{dx}{dN}=\frac{d\Omega_r}{dN}=0$. We find four categories of fixed points as presented in Table I. The following constraint holds for all the solutions in Table I:

$$1-\frac{1}{2}y(x)+y'(x)\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y(x)\right)=1+f'(T)+2Tf''(T)$$
$$\neq 0 \qquad (22)$$

The left hand side of Equation (22) is the denominator of the dynamical Equations (21). This special case has a simple solution that will be studied in the next section. Investigating the stability of the solutions is possible through the study the eigenvalues of the first order perturbation matrix (Jacobian matrix) near the critical points. These eigenvalues are designated by λ_i in Table I. Looking for an accelerated universe requires minus values to be found for the deceleration parameter q for the present phase of the universe defined as follows:

$$q=-\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2}=-1-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}=-1+\frac{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r-\frac{3}{2}(x+y)}{1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)}$$

The stability of the regimes presented in this Table depends on the values of the $y'(x_0)$ where x_0 is the solution of $y(x)+2x=0$. Depending on the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix, we may have different kinds of stability states. The stable, unstable, and saddle states are possible for real values of λ_i. Positive eigenvalues yield to an unstable fixed point, negative ones yield to a stable one, and the mixed positive and negative values yield to a saddle fixed point. When some or all of the eigenvalues have a zero real part, the fixed point is called nonhyperbolic. Novel theories will be required to determine the stability of nonhyperbolic fixed points as the linear stability theory fails to do so.

The first category of fixed points occur with the solutions of $y(x)+2x=0$. We call the roots of this equation x_0. Depending on the functionality of $y(x)$, it is possible to have zero, one or more fixed points. For all these points, $\Omega_r=0$ and $\Omega_m=1-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$. The point changes to a matter-dominated fixed point for small values of $y(x_0)$ because $\Omega_m=1$ and $\Omega_D=x+y(x)=0$. Stabler solutions will be obtained in the domain $y'(x_0)>-2$, saddle ones in $y'(x_0)<-2$, and nonhyperbolic ones in $y'(x_0)=-2$.

The second category of solutions are those for the equation $y(x)+x=1$. We call the roots of this equation x_1. Since both Ω_m and Ω_r are zero and $\Omega_D=1$, it will be a dark-energy-dominated solution and always stable. As the deceleration parameter q has minus values for this point, it represents the accelerating phase of the universe.

The third category of fixed points are again the solutions of the equation $y(x)+2x=0$ with $\Omega_r=1-\frac{1}{2}y(x_0)$ and $\Omega_m=0$. For small values of $y(x_0)$, Ω_r tends to one, Ω_D equals zero, and the point will be a radiation-dominated fixed point which will be a saddle one in domain $y'(x_0)<-2$, an unstable one in $y'(x_0)>-2$, and a nonhyperbolic one in $y'(x_0)=-2$.

The forth category of fixed points are those in which $1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)$ diverges along with the condition $\Omega_D=x+y\neq 1$ (P_4 equals to P_2, if $\Omega_D=1$). We call these points x_2. Given that, $\Omega_m\neq 0$ in the accelerating phase ($q=-1$), the point is related to the inflation dominated era. It is a stable fixed point if $\lambda(x_2)<0$, and a saddle one if $\lambda(x_2)>0$, where $\lambda(x)$ has the following form:

$$\lambda(x)=\frac{3}{2}\frac{(y'+2)(1-x-y)-(y'+1)(y+2x)}{1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)} \qquad (23)$$
$$-\frac{3}{2}\frac{(1-x-y)(y+2x)\left(\frac{1}{2}y'(y'+1)+y''\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)\right)}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}y+y'\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y\right)\right)^2}$$

We are living in a dark-energy-dominated accelerated expanding universe. Going back in time, the universe used to be denser than it is today when matter dominated the universe. Since radiation decays faster than matter in an expanding universe (from the solution of equations (8)), radiation must have preceded matter in dominating the world. Prior to the radiation-dominated world, an accelerated expansion, called inflation is believed to

have existed, connecting the beginning of the universe Big Bang to the radiation era after inflation. Thus, the universe experienced two eras of acceleration; early time acceleration due to inflation and late time acceleration due to dark energy. Hence any proposed cosmological model should contain at least part of the cosmological model below[17]:
inflation→radiation→matter→dark energy.
Let us now turn to the theory outlined here and see if it is a viable cosmological model. We already established that there existed an inflation phase, two matter and radiation-dominated eras, and a dark energy accelerating phase. If we choose $f(T)$ in a way that $y'(x_0) > -2$, then we have an unstable radiation era followed by a matter-dominated and an accelerating dark energy ones. One should select the models of $f(T)$ that have at least one point in one of the categories listed in Table I. Clearly those models should be ruled out that fail to offer a solution for at least one category. Based on the energy conditions, we should have $0 \leq \Omega_m \leq 1, 0 \leq \Omega_r \leq 1$. Hence the preferred value of Ω_D from equations (17) and (19) becomes $-1 \leq \Omega_D \leq 1$. Since at any point in the Table I one of the parameters Ω_m and Ω_r is zero, the preferred value of Ω_D becomes $0 \leq \Omega_D \leq 1$. This yields $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}y(x_0) \leq 1$ and $0 \leq x_2 + y(x_2) \leq 1$. But since P_4 equals to P_2 for $x_2 + y(x_2) = 1$, the preferred value is $0 \leq x_2 + y(x_2) < 1$. The points P_1 and P_3 become dark energy dominated fixed points for $y(x_0) = 2$. Since deceleration parameters of these points are positive, they refer to decelerating universe in dark energy dominated era and correspond to no physical situation. Thus the upper bound of $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}y(x_0) \leq 1$ is forbidden. Therefore, a viable cosmological model that contains all parts of the standard cosmological model should satisfy the following conditions:

1. The model has at least one root for one of the categories in Table I; i.e, one of the equations $x + y(x) = 1$ or $y(x) + 2x = 0$ have at least one real solution. Or $1 - \frac{1}{2}y + y'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right)$, diverges for at least one real value of x.

2. $y'(x_0) > -2$.

3. $0 \leq y(x_0) < 2$

4. $0 \leq x_2 + y(x_2) < 1$

Even if we find an $f(T)$ function which satisfy all of these conditions, one problem remains in the theory. The inflation point cannot be an unstable fixed point and it is not possible to make matter and radiation fixed poins a saddle one, simultaneously. In most cases, the theory can explain some parts of the standard cosmological model. For example if a theory contains matter and radiation eras by existence of x_0, second and third conditions are necessary. If there exists inflation by x_2 forth requirement should be met. In the following, we investigate different forms of the $f(T)$ function.

IV. SPECIAL CASES

In this section, the constraint mentioned in (22) and some special forms of function $f(T)$ are studied.

IV.1. Special case: $1 + f'(T) + 2Tf''(T) = 0$

The general solution to the differential equation (22) is:

$$f(T) = -T + c_1\sqrt{-T} + c_2 \tag{24}$$

Based on Equation (10), this solution leads to the following relation between the densities:

$$\rho_m = -\frac{4}{3}\rho_r \tag{25}$$

Except in the case $\rho_m = \rho_r = 0$, the above equation makes no physical sense and leads to the violation of energy conditions. Plugging $\rho_m = \rho_r = 0$ and (24) in the first Friedman Equation (9) yield $c_2 = 0$. This means the only case in which Equation (22) leads to physical result is in the dark energy dominated era with $c_2 = 0$. Equation (24) was a general solution for $f(T)$ irrespective of the singular behavior of $H(t)$. It is possible to have different solutions for special singular choices of $H(t)$ (again in dark the energy dominated era). Based on the reference [19], if H(t) is chosen as follows:

$$H \sim \frac{h_s}{(t_s - t)^q}, \qquad q > 0$$
$$H \sim H_s + \frac{h_s}{(t_s - t)^q}, \qquad q < -1, -1 < q < 0$$

and a power law function for $f(T)$ as:

$$f(T) = -T + A(-T)^\alpha \tag{26}$$

Then, it is possible to have types I and III singularity for $\alpha < 0$, types II and IV singularity for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, and type V (ω) singularity for $\alpha > 1$.

IV.2. Power law function: $f(T) = B(-T)^\beta$

A simple and useful choice for $f(T)$ is a power law function [20] of the following form:

$$f(T) = B(-T)^\beta \tag{27}$$

As pointed out in Refs. [9, 20], the model reduces to the ΛCDM model when $\beta = 0$ and to the DGP one [21] when $\beta = 1/2$. However, it leads to a redefinition of the Newton constant when $\beta = 1$. In order to be consistent with the observational data the requirement that $|\beta| \ll 1$, should be met [9, 20, 22].
As already mentioned above, any physical function $f(T)$ should satisfy some conditions to be described as a standard cosmological model. We will examine the power law function and see what values of B and β will render it



FIG. 1. The behavior of the phase trajectories for the system (21) for $f(T) = B(-T)^{\beta}$ where β has been chosen to 0.3 in plot **(a)** and 0.7 in plot **(b)**. Critical points P_1, P_2 and P_3 are placed at $(0,0)$, $(\frac{1}{1-2\beta},0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively. P_2 is clearly a stable fixed point because the trajectories are coming out of it. P_1 is a saddle one because some trajectories evolve through and some of them come out of the point. Finally P_3 is an unstable fixed point because all of the trajectories are coming out of it. The trajectories start their evolution from P_3 or radiation, the only unstable fixed point in the theory. Some of the trajectories go straight forward to the stable point P_2 and some of them evolve first to P_1 or matter dominated critical point and after that to P_2 (dark energy era), the only attractor in the theory.

into a viable model for $f(T)$ gravity. The first condition to be met is that the two equations $y(x) + x = 1$ and $y(x) + 2x = 0$ should have real answers. For $f(T)$ in equation (27), we have:

$$x = \frac{f(T)}{T} = -B(-T)^{\beta-1} \tag{28}$$

$$y = -2f'(T) = 2B\beta(-T)^{\beta-1} \tag{29}$$

which leads to $y(x) = -2\beta x$. One can check the first condition as:

$$y(x) + x = -2\beta x + x = 1 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{1}{1-2\beta} \tag{30}$$

$$y(x) + 2x = -2\beta x + 2x = 0 \Rightarrow x_0 = 0 \tag{31}$$

Except at $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, both of the above equations have real answers, hence the first condition is satisfied. The second condition states that $y'(x_0) > -2$.

$$y'(x_0) = -2\beta > -2 \Rightarrow \beta < 1 \tag{32}$$

This result is consistent with earlier research [9, 20, 22] stating that observational data predict absolute values of β to be far smaller than one. Finally, we have $0 \leq y(x_0) \leq 2$, since $y(x_0) = y(0) = 0$, the third condition is satisfied as well. Thus the conditions for matter and radiation points are satisfied. Now we turn to the inflation point. Necessary condition for inflation is that, there exists a x that $1 - \frac{1}{2}y + y'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right)$ diverges and $0 \leq x + y(x) < 1$. From equations (28) and (29) we have:

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}y + y'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) = 1 + B\beta(1-2\beta)(-T)^{\beta-1} \tag{33}$$

By $\beta < 1$ and $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ the above equation diverges at $T = 0$ or $x = \infty$. But for this value of x, $x + y(x) = (1-2\beta)x$, diverges and the forth condition does not hold. Hence there is no inflation point in the theory but for other parts of standard cosmological model the power law function with $\beta < 1$ and $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$, leads to desirable results and viable cosmological predictions. The fixed points of the power law function are plotted in Figure 1 for two values of β. Three fixed points are explicit in the Figures and it is obvious that the transition from a radiation era to a matter one followed by an accelerating phase is possible. Thus, the power law function successfully describes three parts of the standard cosmological model.

IV.3. $f(T) = \frac{\lambda}{3}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1\right]$

Turning now to a new function $f(T) = \frac{\lambda}{3}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1\right]$, we first calculate the variables x and y from (13) and (14):

$$x = \frac{f(T)}{T} = \frac{\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1 + \frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 1\right]$$

$$y = -2f'(T) = -2\sqrt{1 + \frac{2T}{\lambda}} \tag{34}$$

The following equations should now be checked to see if they have real solutions:

$$y(x)+2x=-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}+\frac{2\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]=0$$
$$y(x)+x=-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}+\frac{\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]=1 \tag{35}$$

The above equations have no solutions in this form. Based on the singular definition of the x, the point $T=0$ has been eliminated from the domain of the answers. But there is not a physical singularity at this point (there is no singularity in the Friedman equations (9) and (10) at $T=0$). Hence we can calculate the limit of the above equations and see if they have any solution at $T=0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{T\to 0}(y(x)+2x)&=\lim_{T\to 0}\left(-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}\right)\\&\quad+\lim_{T\to 0}\left(\frac{2\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]\right)\\&=\lim_{T\to 0}\left(-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}+2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}\right)=0\\\lim_{T\to 0}(y(x)+x)&=\lim_{T\to 0}\left(-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}\right)\\&\quad+\lim_{T\to 0}\left(\frac{\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]\right)\\&=\lim_{T\to 0}\left(-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}+\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}\right)=-1\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Therefore the first equation has a solution at $T_0=0$. The second condition is $y'(x_0)>-2$; we thus have:

$$\begin{aligned}y'(x_0)&=\frac{dy}{dx}=\frac{dy/dT}{dx/dT}\mid_{T=0}\\&=\lim_{T\to 0}\frac{\frac{-2}{\lambda\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}}}{\frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}}{T}-\frac{\lambda}{3T^2}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]}\\&=-4\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Since the second condition is not satisfied, the matter and radiation points are not acceptable. We turn to the inflation point; i.e. where the value $1-\frac{1}{2}y(x)+y'(x)\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y(x)\right)$ diverges:

$$1-\frac{1}{2}y(x)+y'(x)\left(x+\frac{1}{2}y(x)\right)=1+f'(T)+2Tf''(T)$$
$$=1+\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}+\frac{2T}{\lambda\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}} \tag{38}$$

The above Eq. diverges at $T_2=-\frac{\lambda}{2}$ and this is the inflation point. The forth condition, $0\leq x_2+y(x_2)<1$, can be checked as follows:

$$x(T_2)+y(T_2)=\left(\frac{\lambda}{3T}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]-2\sqrt{1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}}\right)_{T=-\frac{\lambda}{2}}=\frac{2}{3} \tag{39}$$

Hence the forth requirement is met and the inflation point can be admitted as an acceptable fixed point. Thus $f(T)$ gravity with $f(T)=\frac{\lambda}{3}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]$ can explain inflation with no inflaton. Another form of the $f(T)$ function which depicts inflation is studied in [10].
It is straightforward to check other functional forms of $f(T)$ theory using similar calculations. Once the $f(T)$ function is chosen, one can calculate the variables x and y along the lines outlined above.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The cosmological behavior of the $f(T)$ gravity theory was investigated for the perfect dust matter and radiation in the flat FRW universe using the method of dynamical systems. It was found that the $f(T)$ theory was able to describe the four periods of the standard cosmological model, namely inflation, radiation, matter, and accelerating dark energy dominated eras if four conditions are satisfied. The first condition concerns the existence of at least one fixed point for any one of the periods mentioned. The second condition accounts for the saddle behavior of the matter fixed point and the instability of the radiation one. The third and forth conditions are related to the energy conditions. Since Ω_m and Ω_r are proportional to ρ_m and ρ_r, negative values of Ω_m and Ω_r are forbidden and the theory should predict $0\leq\Omega_m\leq 1, 0\leq\Omega_r\leq 1$. Based on these conditions, the theory predicts the four fixed points of inflation, radiation, matter, and dark energy dominated eras. Based on the phase trajectories, the power law function was found to allow for the transition between these cosmological periods. The constraint $1+f'(T)+2Tf''(T)=0$ was studied separately. Two special cases of $f(T)$ function that are power law, $f(T)=B(-T)^{\beta}$ and $f(T)=\frac{\lambda}{3}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]$ were also investigated. It is interesting that the power law case was found capable of satisfying some of the conditions for $\beta<1$ and $\beta\neq\frac{1}{2}$, thus, proved a viable model. $f(T)=\frac{\lambda}{3}\left[\left(1+\frac{2T}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}-1\right]$ can satisfy the conditions of the inflation era without introducing an inflaton.

[1] A. G. Riess et al., Astron. J. **116** (1998) 1009;
S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. **517** (1999) 565;
P.deBernardis et al., Nature **404** (2000)955;
S. Perlmutter etal., Astrophys. J. **598** (2003) 102.

[2] Weitzenbock R., Invarianten Theorie, (Nordhoff, Groningen,1923).

[3] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505** (2011) 59-144;
S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2001) 043528;
S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **657** (2007) 238; Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 026007;
G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 046009; **83** (2011) 086006;
S.Capozziello, V.F.Cardone and A.Troisi, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **08** (2006) 001; Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **375** (2007) 1423;
A. Borowiec, W. Godlowski and M. Szydlowski, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **4** (2007) 183;
C. F. Martins and P. Salucci, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **381** (2007) 1103;
C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Astropart. Phys. **29** (2008) 386;
C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Astropart. Phys. **03** (2008) 024.

[4] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 123509 [hep-th/0504052],
S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **66**, 012005 (2007).

[5] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3524 (1979); Addendum-ibid. **24**, 3312 (1982).

[6] A. Einstein 1928, Sitz. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. p. 217; ibid p. 224;
A. Unzicker and T. Case, arXiv:physics/0503046.

[7] V. C. de Andrade, L. C. T. Guillen, and J. G. Pereira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4533 (2000).

[8] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124019 (2009);
E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 127301 (2010), arXiv:1005.3039 [astro-ph.CO].

[9] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 124019 (2009).

[10] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084031 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0610067;
R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 124019 (2008), arXiv:0812.1981v1 [gr-qc].

[11] R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1752, (2011), arXiv:1006.1120 [gr-qc];
J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **1101**, 009, (2011), arXiv:1010.2215 [astro-ph.CO].

[12] K. K. Yerzhanov, S. R. Myrzakul, I. I. Kulnazarov and R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1006.3879 [gr-qc].

[13] P. Wu and H. Yu, Phys. Lett. B **692**, 176 (2010), arXiv:1007.2348 [astro-ph.CO].

[14] H. Wei, X. J. Guo and L. F. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **707**, 298-304, (2012), arXiv:1112.2270 [gr-qc];
K. Atazadeh and F. Darabi1, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2016, (2012), arXiv:1112.2824[physics.gen-ph].

[15] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2267, (2013), arXiv:1212.6017[gr-qc].

[16] P.Wu and H.W. Yu, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1552, (2011), arXiv:1008.3669 [gr-qc];
K. Karami and A. Abdolmaleki, arXiv:1009.2459 [gr-qc].

[17] C. G. Bohmer and N. Chan, Res. Astron. Astrophys. **13**, 757, (2013), arXiv:1409.5585[gr-qc].

[18] Y. Zhang, H. Li, Y. Gong and Z. H. Zhu, JCAP, **07**, 015, (2011), arXiv:1103.0719[astro-ph.CO];
C. Xu, E. N. Saridakisb and G. Leon, arXiv:1202.3781[gr-qc];
M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C, **72**, 1959, (2012), arXiv:1202.4926[physics.gen-ph];
M. Jamil, K. Yesmakhanova, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. **10**, 1065-1071, (2012), arXiv:1207.2735[gr-qc];
S. Kr. Biswas and S. Chakraborty, arXiv:1504.02431[gr-qc].

[19] K. Bamba, R. Myrzakulov, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Physical Review D **85**, 104036, (2012), arXiv:1202.4057[gr-qc].

[20] E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 127301 (2010).

[21] G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B **485**, 208 (2000).

[22] P. Wu and H. Yu, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 415-420, (2010), arXiv:1006.0674.